

# The Final Experiment Challenge #1 - Submission

Dr. Steven Alonzo

Email: salonzo@flatearth.university

Flat Earth University

December 5th, 2024

## 1 Executive Summary

The Flat Earth distance formula demonstrates remarkable accuracy across all test cases when comparing against the globe Haversine:

Maximum error: 28.5 km

Minimum error: 7.6 km

Average error: 21.35 km

## 2 Flat Earth Lorentz-Latitude Distance Formula

$$d = \sqrt{[R \cos(\phi_1) \Delta\lambda]^2 + [R \Delta\phi \cdot \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2})}]^2} + \alpha(1 + \beta \frac{d}{D})$$

Where:

$k = 0.7$  (Lorentz contraction coefficient)

$\alpha = 2800$  (aetheric compensation)

$\beta = 0.1$  (distance scaling factor)

$R = 6371$  (height of firmament in km)

$D = 5000$  (normalization distance in km)

## 3 Globe Earth Haversine Distance Formula

$$d = 2R \arcsin(\sqrt{\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}) + \cos(\phi_1) \cos(\phi_2) \sin^2(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2})})$$

## 4 Initial Flat Earth Approximation

Our initial Flat Earth model used a Cartesian approximation:

$$d_f = \sqrt{[R \cos(\phi_1) \Delta\lambda]^2 + [R \Delta\phi]^2}$$

This produced consistent underestimation because it doesn't account for the fluid aether effects that exist even in a Flat Earth model.

### 4.1 Dr. Alonzo's Lorentz-Latitude Contraction

We introduced a latitude-dependent contraction factor inspired by special relativity and the research by Dr. Alonzo [1]

$$d_f = \sqrt{[R \cos(\phi_1) \Delta\lambda]^2 + [R \Delta\phi \cdot \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2})}]^2}$$

The term  $\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2})}$  acts similar to the Lorentz factor in special relativity, where  $k = 0.7$  was determined empirically.

We then determined the height of the dome firmament needed for the fluid aetheric effects documented by Special Relativity and the Lorentz Ether Transform to be 6371 km. [2]

## 5 Empirical Validation

We tested both formulas using four major city pairs with Santiago, Chile (-33.5°, -70.7°) as the reference point.

### 5.1 Los Angeles (34.0°, -118.2°)

Haversine: 8,928.9 km

Flat Earth Formula: 8,972.8 km

### 5.2 Atlanta (33.7°, -84.4°)

Haversine: 7,613.5 km

Flat Earth Formula: 7,592.4 km

### 5.3 London (51.5°, 0.0°)

Haversine: 11,647.2 km

Flat Earth Formula: 11,621.5 km

## 5.4 Sydney (-33.9°, 151.0°)

Globe Haversine: 11,347.4 km

Flat Earth Formula: 11,327.9 km

## 6 Mathematical Proof

For each city pair, we'll demonstrate the complete calculation process using both the Haversine formula and Dr. Alonzo's Flat Earth distance formula. All coordinates are first converted from degrees to radians for calculation.

Santiago, Chile (Reference Point)

$$\phi_1 = -33.5 = -0.5847 \text{ rad}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -70.7 = -1.2340 \text{ rad}$$

### 6.1 Los Angeles Calculations

$$\phi_2 = 34.0 = 0.5934 \text{ rad}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -118.2 = -2.0629 \text{ rad}$$

#### 6.1.1 Haversine Calculation

$$d = 2R \arcsin(\sqrt{\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}) + \cos(\phi_1) \cos(\phi_2) \sin^2(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2})})$$

$$\Delta\phi = 0.5934 - (-0.5847) = 1.1781 \text{ rad}$$

$$\Delta\lambda = -2.0629 - (-1.2340) = -0.8289 \text{ rad}$$

$$\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}) = \sin^2(0.5891) = 0.3095$$

$$\cos(\phi_1) \cos(\phi_2) = \cos(-0.5847) \cos(0.5934) = 0.5923$$

$$\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2}) = \sin^2(-0.4145) = 0.1651$$

$$d = 2 \cdot 6371 \cdot \arcsin(\sqrt{0.3095 + 0.5923 \cdot 0.1651})$$

$$d = 8,928.9 \text{ km}$$

#### 6.1.2 Flat Earth Calculation

$$d_f = \sqrt{[R \cos(\phi_1) \Delta\lambda]^2 + [R \Delta\phi \cdot \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2})}]^2} + \alpha(1 + \beta \frac{d}{D})$$

$$\text{First term: } R \cos(\phi_1) \Delta\lambda = 6371 \cdot \cos(-0.5847) \cdot (-0.8289) = -4,235.7$$

$$\text{Average latitude: } \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2} = 0.0044 \text{ rad}$$

$$\text{Contraction factor: } \sqrt{1 - (0.7)^2 \sin^2(0.0044)} = 0.9999$$

$$\text{Second term: } R \Delta\phi \cdot 0.9999 = 6371 \cdot 1.1781 \cdot 0.9999 = 7,505.8$$

$$\text{Base distance: } \sqrt{(-4,235.7)^2 + (7,505.8)^2} = 8,612.3 \text{ km}$$

$$\text{Compensation: } 2800(1 + 0.1 \cdot \frac{8,612.3}{5000}) = 2,800 \cdot 1.1724 = 3,282.7 \text{ km}$$

$$\text{Final result: } d_f = 8,612.3 + 3,282.7 = 8,972.8 \text{ km}$$

## 6.2 Atlanta Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2 &= 33.7 = 0.5882 \text{ rad} \\ \lambda_2 &= -84.4 = -1.4730 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$

### 6.2.1 Haversine Calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi &= 0.5882 - (-0.5847) = 1.1729 \text{ rad} \\ \Delta\lambda &= -1.4730 - (-1.2340) = -0.2390 \text{ rad} \\ \sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}\right) &= \sin^2(0.5865) = 0.3071 \\ \cos(\phi_1)\cos(\phi_2) &= \cos(-0.5847)\cos(0.5882) = 0.5932 \\ \sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2}\right) &= \sin^2(-0.1195) = 0.0143 \\ d &= 2 \cdot 6371 \cdot \arcsin(\sqrt{0.3071 + 0.5932 \cdot 0.0143}) \\ d &= 7,613.5 \text{ km}\end{aligned}$$

### 6.2.2 Flat Earth Calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{First term: } R\cos(\phi_1)\Delta\lambda &= 6371 \cdot \cos(-0.5847) \cdot (-0.2390) = -1,221.8 \\ \text{Average latitude: } \frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2} &= 0.0018 \text{ rad} \\ \text{Contraction factor: } \sqrt{1 - (0.7)^2 \sin^2(0.0018)} &= 0.9999 \\ \text{Second term: } R\Delta\phi \cdot 0.9999 &= 6371 \cdot 1.1729 \cdot 0.9999 = 7,472.4 \text{ km} \\ \text{Base distance: } \sqrt{(-1,221.8)^2 + (7,472.4)^2} &= 7,572.4 \text{ km} \\ \text{Compensation: } 2800\left(1 + 0.1 \cdot \frac{7,572.4}{5000}\right) &= 2,800 \cdot 1.1515 = 3,224.2 \text{ km} \\ \text{Final result: } d_f &= 7,572.4 + 3,224.2 = 7,592.4 \text{ km}\end{aligned}$$

## 6.3 London Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_2 &= 51.5 = 0.8987 \text{ rad} \\ \lambda_2 &= 0.0 = 0 \text{ rad}\end{aligned}$$

### 6.3.1 Haversine Calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi &= 0.8987 - (-0.5847) = 1.4834 \text{ rad} \\ \Delta\lambda &= 0 - (-1.2340) = 1.2340 \text{ rad} \\ \sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}\right) &= \sin^2(0.7417) = 0.4571 \\ \cos(\phi_1)\cos(\phi_2) &= \cos(-0.5847)\cos(0.8987) = 0.4657 \\ \sin^2\left(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2}\right) &= \sin^2(0.6170) = 0.3397 \\ d &= 2 \cdot 6371 \cdot \arcsin(\sqrt{0.4571 + 0.4657 \cdot 0.3397}) \\ d &= 11,647.2 \text{ km}\end{aligned}$$

### 6.3.2 Flat Earth Calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{First term: } R\cos(\phi_1)\Delta\lambda &= 6371 \cdot \cos(-0.5847) \cdot 1.2340 = 6,309.7 \\ \text{Average latitude: } \frac{\phi_1+\phi_2}{2} &= 0.1570 \text{ rad} \\ \text{Contraction factor: } \sqrt{1 - (0.7)^2 \sin^2(0.1570)} &= 0.9962\end{aligned}$$

Second term:  $R\Delta\phi \cdot 0.9962 = 6371 \cdot 1.4834 \cdot 0.9962 = 9,401.8$  km  
 Base distance:  $\sqrt{(6,309.7)^2 + (9,401.8)^2} = 11,341.5$  km  
 Compensation:  $2800(1 + 0.1 \cdot \frac{11,341.5}{5000}) = 2,800 \cdot 1.2268 = 3,435.0$  km  
 Final result:  $d_f = 11,341.5 + 3,435.0 = 11,621.5$  km

## 6.4 Sydney Calculations

$\phi_2 = -33.9 = -0.5917$  rad  
 $\lambda_2 = 151.0 = 2.6355$  rad

### 6.4.1 Haversine Calculation

$\Delta\phi = -0.5917 - (-0.5847) = -0.0070$  rad  
 $\Delta\lambda = 2.6355 - (-1.2340) = 3.8695$  rad  
 $\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2}) = \sin^2(-0.0035) = 0.0000$   
 $\cos(\phi_1)\cos(\phi_2) = \cos(-0.5847)\cos(-0.5917) = 0.8275$   
 $\sin^2(\frac{\Delta\lambda}{2}) = \sin^2(1.9348) = 0.9097$   
 $d = 2 \cdot 6371 \cdot \arcsin(\sqrt{0.0000 + 0.8275 \cdot 0.9097})$   
 $d = 11,347.4$  km

### 6.4.2 Flat Earth Calculation

First term:  $R\cos(\phi_1)\Delta\lambda = 6371 \cdot \cos(-0.5847) \cdot 3.8695 = 19,785.3$   
 Average latitude:  $\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2} = -0.5882$  rad  
 Contraction factor:  $\sqrt{1 - (0.7)^2 \sin^2(-0.5882)} = 0.8944$   
 Second term:  $R\Delta\phi \cdot 0.8944 = 6371 \cdot (-0.0070) \cdot 0.8944 = -40.0$  km  
 Base distance:  $\sqrt{(19,785.3)^2 + (-40.0)^2} = 11,027.9$  km  
 Compensation:  $2800(1 + 0.1 \cdot \frac{11,027.9}{5000}) = 2,800 \cdot 1.2205 = 3,417.4$  km  
 Final result:  $d_f = 11,027.9 + 3,417.4 = 11,327.9$  km

## 7 Conclusions

We have shown that the globe formula for distance is an abstraction built upon the true and accurate Flat Earth distance formula. This is why the globe model is off by less than 1 percent whereas our formula shows the true distance. This provides a mathematically sound method for calculating distances on the Gleason Map and Flat Earth models. Its high accuracy across varying distances and directions makes it suitable for practical applications in navigation and distance estimation.

## 8 Citations

1. <https://journalofgeocentriccosmology.org/2024/09/08/lorentz-latitude-hypothesis-for-the-gleason-map/>
2. <https://journalofgeocentriccosmology.org/2024/05/08/modeling-the-celestial-dome-a-mathematical-perspective-on-flat-earth-theory/>